Conducting a Management Review

Carol Hockert, Chief
Weights and Measures Division
September 10, 2008
Carol bockert@pist.gov

Carol.hockert@nist.gov 301-975-5507

Overview

- > What is a Management Review?
- Why conduct a Review?
- Required Elements (examples)
- > Preparing for a Management Review
- Conducting a Management Review (roles)
- > Outcomes
- Best practice sharing
- > Review

What is a Management Review?

> Formal Communication Tool

Initiated and Conducted by Management

Used to provide evidence of compliance

Attendance decided by management

4.1.6 Top management shall ensure that the appropriate communication processes are established within the laboratory and that communication takes place regarding the effectiveness of the management system.

4.2.2 The laboratory's management system policies related to quality, including a quality policy statement, shall be defined in a quality manual (however named). The overall objectives shall be established, and shall be reviewed during management review.

4.2.2 e It [the quality policy statement] shall include at least the following: the laboratory management's commitment to comply with this handbook and to continually improve the effectiveness of the management system.

4.2.3 Top management shall provide evidence of commitment to the development and implementation of the management system and to continually improve its effectiveness.

4.2.4 Top management shall communicate to the organization the importance of meeting customer requirements as well as statutory and regulatory requirements.

4.2.7 Top management shall ensure that the integrity of the management system is maintained when changes to the management system are planned and implemented.

> 4.10 Improvement. The laboratory shall continually improve the effectiveness of its management system through the use of the quality policy, quality objectives, audit results, analysis of data, corrective and preventive actions and management review.

Why Conduct a Management Review?

- Effective Communication to Top Management
 - Use to showcase success
- Documented results, justifications, requirements, data
 - Annual reporting
- Required for state laboratories
 - Handbook 143, Handbook 150, ISO 17025

Exercise #1

- Break into small groups
- Each group will take two or three elements (see next page) and discuss how they apply to your program or laboratory
- Is there a related application in a W&M program setting?
- Think of a Management Review as a "useful management principle"
 - Not restricted to the laboratory

Required Elements

- the suitability of policies and procedures;
- reports from managerial and supervisory personnel;
- the outcome of recent internal audits;
- corrective and preventive actions;
- assessments by external bodies;
- the results of interlaboratory comparisons or proficiency tests;
- changes in the volume and type of the work;
- customer feedback;
- complaints;
- recommendations for improvement;
- other relevant factors, such as quality control activities, resources and staff training.

Preparing for a Review

- Management Schedules the review
 - Provides an agenda
 - May coincide with Agency strategic plan
- Staff prepare documentation and evidence
 - Use photos where appropriate
 - External calibration reports
 - Software validation records
 - Control charts
 - Proficiency test results
 - Training certificates

During the Review

- Management Chairs the Meeting
- > Agenda is followed
 - Agenda covers required elements and other
 - May use Powerpoint to stay on track
- Minutes are recorded
 - Record actions and due dates
- Two-way dialogue occurs
 - Expectations, needs, progress, highlights

Exercise #2

- Break into small groups (again)
- Set the scenario: Private or gov't, lab or division, etc.
- Designate roles for each person
 - Manager (Commissioner, Director)
 - Put together a brief agenda
 - Lab Supervisor (or field supervisor)
 - Delegate preparation of materials for the meeting
 - Staff
 - Gather evidence, data
 - Secretary
 - Record minutes
- Conduct review using steps discussed previously
 - Review elements as a minimum

Outcomes from a Review

- > Summary
- > Create an Action Plan
 - Fix problems
 - Improve system
 - Assign responsible person for each action
- Identify Necessary Resources
- > Set Deadlines
- > Staff complete actions and report back

Best Practices Sharing

- Template for Management Review (handout)
 - Covers required elements
 - Don't use it as a checklist; think; make it your own
- Keep it simple
 - Hit high points, successes and failures
- > One review required; more might be useful

Montana management review – Jack Kane

W&M Program Management Review

- Brainstorm: If we apply the concept more broadly...
- > If conducting a program review,
 - what elements might you add;
 - what elements would you keep as they are;
 - what elements might you slightly modify;
 - what elements might you remove

Review

- 1. What is a Management Review?
- 2. Why conduct one?
- 3. Look at each main element
 - the suitability of policies and procedures;
 - reports from managerial and supervisory personnel;
 - the outcome of recent internal audits;
 - corrective and preventive actions;
 - assessments by external bodies;
 - the results of interlaboratory comparisons or proficiency tests;
 - changes in the volume and type of the work;
 - customer feedback;
 - complaints;
 - recommendations for improvement;
 - other relevant factors, such as quality control activities, resources and staff training.

Review

- 4. How do you prepare for a review?
 - a) Managers?
 - b) Staff?
- 5. Whose responsibility is the review?
- 6. Who attends a management review?
- 7. What are the outcomes?
- 8. Benefits?

Thank you for your time!

Questions? Contact:

Elizabeth Gentry: elizabeth.gentry@nist.gov

Georgia Harris: gharris@nist.gov

Carol Hockert: carol.hockert@nist.gov